


GAU 1634  
#87  
10-17-00

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as attached therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail addressed to the: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.



September 28, 2000

Denise Ortega  
Name

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2000

Denise Ortega  
Signature

RECEIVED  
OCT 11 2000  
GROUP 1700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Bruce M. Bowman

Serial No.: 09/480,389 Group Art Unit: 1634

Filed : January 11, 2000 Examiner:

For : Immunoassays to Detect  
Diseases or Disease  
Susceptibility Traits

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
UNDER 37 CFR SECTIONS 1.56, 1.97 AND 1.98

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The accompanying PTO Form 1449 is submitted pursuant to 37 CFR Sections 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, directing Applicants to submit literature and information that may be considered material to the examination of the claims of an application. Applicants respectfully submit that this Supplementary Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) should be considered in accordance

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2000

with 37 CFR 1.97(b)(3), as it is being submitted "[b]efore the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits . . . " for the subject application, and that therefore, no fee is required for its consideration.

Applicants respectfully point out that the "filing of an information disclosure statement shall not be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in Section 1.56(b)" [37 CFR Section 1.97(h)]; and that an information disclosure statement filed in accordance with 37 CFR Section 1.97 "shall not be construed as a representation that a search has been made." [37 CFR Section 1.97(g)]

Further the identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and, Applicants respectfully submit, should not be construed as being, an admission that such a document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of the applicable laws, since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent, or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. Applicants respectfully conclude on this point that the "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.

Thus, Applicants respectfully conclude that the citation of references herein is not intended to be an admission

that any of the references are considered to be material or to **RECEIVED**  
constitute prior art, or that any of the references, either alone *OCT 13 2000*  
or in combination with any other references, would be sufficient  
to render any of the claims of the above-identified patent  
application unpatentable.

Respectfully submitted



Leona L. Lauder  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 30,863

Dated: September 28, 2000